

RIEMANN-STIELTJES INTEGRALS

Ⓜ Important Points from the Chapter

1. **Riemann-Stieltjes Sum** Let f be a bounded function and $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = b\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$.

Again, let $m_k = \text{glb} \{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$, $M_k = \text{lub} \{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$ and g be monotonically non-decreasing bounded function on $[a, b]$. Since, $g(a)$ and $g(b)$ both are finite, we write $\delta_{gk} = g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1})$. Then, $\delta_{gk} > 0$.

We write the following two sums

$$L(f, g, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \delta_{gk} \quad \text{and} \quad U(f, g, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \delta_{gk}$$

These sums are respectively called lower and upper Riemann-Stieltjes sums (or simply lower and upper *RS*-sums). (2012)

2. **Lower and Upper *RS*-Integrals** Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$ and g is a monotonically non-decreasing function on $[a, b]$.

Now, let P be the class of all partition of $[a, b]$. Then,

$$\int_a^b f dg = \text{lub} \{L(f, g, P) : P \in \mathbf{P}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b f dg = \text{glb} \{U(f, g, P) : P \in \mathbf{P}\}.$$

These integrals are respectively called the lower and upper *RS*-integrals of relative to g over $[a, b]$. (2012, 07)

3. **Riemann-Stieltjes Integrable** A bounded function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is said to be Riemann-Stieltjes integrable (or *RS*-integrable) relative to a monotonically non-decreasing function g on $[a, b]$, if $\int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b f dg$ and the common value is called the *RS*-integral of f relative to g over $[a, b]$ and it is denoted by $(RS) \int_a^b f dg$ or $(S) \int_a^b f dg$.

The function f is called the **integrand** and g is called **integer**.

The class of all *RS*-integrable functions relative to g over $[a, b]$ is denoted by $RS([a, b], g)$ or $RS(g)$.

- **Note** The statement " $\int_a^b f dg$ exists" means that the function f is bounded and g is monotonically non-decreasing and f is integrable relative to g over $[a, b]$.

4. **Mean Value Theorem** Let $f \in RS(g)$ on $[a, b]$. Then,

$$m [g(b) - g(a)] \leq (S) \int_a^b f dg \leq M [g(b) - g(a)]$$

where, m and M are the bounds of f on $[a, b]$.

(2007)

Very Short Answer Questions

Q 1. Show that Riemann-Stieltjes integral is generalisation of Riemann-integral. (2014)

Or Define Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Show that Riemann integral is particular case of it. (2012)

Sol. Part I Riemann-Stieltjes Integral A bounded function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is said to be Riemann-Stieltjes integrable (or *RS*-integrable) relative to a monotonically non-decreasing function g on $[a, b]$, if $\int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b f dg$ and the common value is called the *RS*-integral of f relative to g over $[a, b]$ and it is denoted by $(RS) \int_a^b f dg$ or $(S) \int_a^b f dg$.

The function f is called the integrand and g is called integer.

The class of all *RS*-integrable functions relative to g over $[a, b]$ is denoted by $RS([a, b], g)$ or $RS(g)$.

Part II If $f(x)$ be a bounded function and $g(x)$ be a monotonic non-decreasing function on $[a, b]$. Then, $f(x)$ is called *RS*-integrable on $[a, b]$ relative to $g(x)$, if

$$\int_a^b f dg(x) = \int_a^b f dg(x) \quad \dots(i)$$

Now, if we replace $g(x)$ by x , then Eq. (i) becomes

$$\int_a^b f dx = \int_a^b f dx$$

which is *R*-integral.

Hence, *RS*-integral is the generalisation of Riemann-integral.

Q 2. Prove that the lower *RS*-sum for a partition is always less than or equal to the upper *RS*-sum for any partition.

Sol. Let P_3 be a partition of $[a, b]$, which is a refinement of both P_1 and P_2 .

Then, $L_1(f, g, P_1) \leq L_3(f, g, P_3)$ and $U_3(f, g, P_3) \leq U_2(f, g, P_2)$

But $L_3(f, g, P_3) \leq U_3(f, g, P_3)$

Hence, $L_1(f, g, P_1) \leq L_3(f, g, P_3) \leq U_3(f, g, P_3) \leq U_2(f, g, P_2)$

i.e. $L_1(f, g, P_1) \leq U_2(f, g, P_2)$

Similarly, we can show that

$$L_2(f, g, P_2) \leq U_1(f, g, P_1).$$

Hence proved

Short Answer Questions

Q 1. Prove that for a bounded function, the upper RS-integral is never less than the lower RS-integral. (2016)

Or Define upper and lower RS-integrals. Prove that the lower RS-integral cannot exceed the upper RS-integral. (2010)

Sol. Part I Lower and Upper RS-integrals Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$ and g is a monotonically non-decreasing function on $[a, b]$.

Now, let P be the class of all partition of $[a, b]$. Then,

$$\int_a^b f dg = \text{lub} \{L(f, g, P) : P \in \mathbf{P}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b f dg = \text{glb} \{U(f, g, P) : P \in \mathbf{P}\}.$$

These integrals are respectively called the lower and upper RS-integrals of relative to g over $[a, b]$.

Part II Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$ and g is monotonic non-decreasing function on $[a, b]$.

Since, $\int_a^b f dg = \text{glb}$ of the set of upper RS-sums, we choose an upper RS-sum $s(f, g, P)$ for a partition P of $[a, b]$ such that

$$\int_a^b f dg > S(f, g, P) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for } \varepsilon > 0$$

Similarly, $\int_a^b f dg = \text{lub}$ of the set of lower RS sums, we choose a lower RS-sum $s(f, g, P)$ such that

$$\int_a^b f dg < S(f, g, P) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for } \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\therefore \int_a^b f dg - \int_a^b f dg > U(f, g, P) - L(f, g, P) - \varepsilon$$

But $U(f, g, P) - L(f, g, P) \geq 0$, therefore

$$\int_a^b f dg - \int_a^b f dg > -\varepsilon, \text{ i.e. } \int_a^b f dg < \int_a^b f dg + \varepsilon$$

Since, $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, then

$$\int_a^b f dg \leq \int_a^b f dg$$

Hence proved.

Q 2. Let f be continuous and g be monotonic, non-decreasing on $[a, b]$, then $f \in \text{RS}$ on $([a, b], g)$. (2014)

Sol. Since, f is continuous on $[a, b]$, so it is bounded on $[a, b]$ and attains its glb and lub on $[a, b]$ and on every closed subintervals of it. Also, f is uniform continuous on $[a, b]$.

Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x') - f(x'')| < \frac{\varepsilon}{g(b) - g(a)}, \text{ for } |x' - x''| < \delta, \forall x', x'' \in [a, b]$$

Now, $[a, b]$ divided in n equal parts such that $n > \frac{b-a}{\delta}$.

We denote this partition by P .

Let $m_k = \text{glb}\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$

and $M_k = \text{lub}\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$.

Next, we put $f(x'_k) = m_k$ and $f(x''_k) = M_k$. Then,

$$M_k - m_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{g(b) - g(a)} \quad [\because |x'_k - x''_k| < \delta]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore U(f, g, P) - L(f, g, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k) (g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1})) \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon}{g(b) - g(a)} (g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1})) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{g(b) - g(a)} \sum_{k=1}^n (g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1})) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{g(b) - g(a)} (g(b) - g(a)) = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is RS -integrable on $([a, b], g)$.

Hence proved.

Q 3. If f is bounded function on $[a, b]$ and α is monotonic increasing function, then $f \in R(\alpha)$ iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P such that $U(p, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon$.
(2012, 08)

Sol. Necessary condition Let f be RS -integrable relative to α over $[a, b]$.

Then,
$$\int_a^b f d\alpha = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha \quad \dots(i)$$

Since, $\int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha = \sup L(P, f, \alpha)$ over all partitions P , there exists a partition P_1 such that

$$\int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha < L(P, f, \alpha) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon > 0 \quad \dots(ii)$$

Similarly, $\int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha = \inf U(P, f, \alpha)$

Therefore,
$$U(P_2, f, \alpha) < \int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon > 0 \quad \dots(iii)$$

Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$. Then, P is the common refinement of P_1 and P_2

Therefore, from Eqs. (ii) and (iii),

$$\int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha < L(P, f, \alpha) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \dots(iv)$$

and
$$U(P, f, \alpha) < \int_a^{\bar{b}} f dg + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \dots(v)$$

On adding Eqs. (iv) and (v), we get

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha + U(P, f, \alpha) < L(P, f, \alpha) + \int_a^b f d\alpha + \varepsilon \quad \dots(\text{iv})$$

From Eq. (i), we get

$$U(P, f, \alpha) < L(P, f, \alpha) + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon$$

Hence, the condition is necessary.

Sufficient condition Let for a positive integer $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P of $[a, b]$ such that

$$U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon \quad \dots(\text{vii})$$

By definition, we get

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha = \inf U(P, f, \alpha) \quad \dots(\text{viii})$$

and
$$\int_a^b f d\alpha = \sup L(P, f, \alpha) \quad \dots(\text{ix})$$

Therefore,
$$\int_a^b f d\alpha \leq U(P, f, \alpha) \quad \dots(\text{x})$$

and
$$\int_a^b f d\alpha \geq L(P, f, \alpha) \quad \dots(\text{xi})$$

Hence,
$$L(P, f, \alpha) \leq \int_a^b f d\alpha \leq \int_a^b f d\alpha \leq U(P, f, \alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_a^b f d\alpha - \int_a^b f d\alpha < U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \int_a^b f d\alpha - \int_a^b f d\alpha < \varepsilon \quad \dots(\text{xii})$$

Since, ε is arbitrary, then we have

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha = \int_a^b f d\alpha \quad \dots(\text{xiii})$$

Hence, f is RS -integrable relative to α over $[a, b]$.

Hence proved.

Q 4. Let f be monotonic and g be continuous and monotonic non-decreasing on $[a, b]$. Then, prove that f is RS -integrable relative to g on $[a, b]$.

Sol. Let f is monotonic non-decreasing, then $f(b) > f(a)$.

Again, let $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since, g is continuous in $[a, b]$, then takes all the values between $g(a)$ and $g(b)$.

Also, g is monotonic non-decreasing, we can choose a partition

$P = \{a = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$\delta_{g_k} = g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1}) = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{n}, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and
$$n > \frac{\{g(b) - g(a)\} \{f(a) - f(a)\}}{\varepsilon}$$

Let $M_k = \text{lub} \{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$ and $m_k = \text{glb} \{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$.

Then, $M_k = f(x_k), m_k = f(x_{k-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } U(P, f, g) - L(P, f, g) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k) \{g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1})\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})\} \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{n} \\ &= \frac{\{f(b) - f(a)\} \{g(b) - g(a)\}}{n} \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is RS -integrable relative to g over $[a, b]$.

Hence proved.

Q 5. If $f \in RS(g)$ on $[a, b]$ and c is a real number, then prove that $cf \in RS(g)$ on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b cf dg = c \int_a^b f dg$.

Sol. Since, f is RS -integrable on $[a, b]$, $\int_a^b f dg = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f dg$ and f is bounded on $[a, b]$. Then, $|cf| = |c||f| \Rightarrow cf$ is bounded on $[a, b]$.

Let $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = b\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ and M_k, m_k be the lub and glb of f on $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$. Then, cM_k and cm_k are the bounds of cf on $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$.

Again, let $\delta_{gk} = g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1})$

Now, let $c > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \int_a^b cf dg &= \text{lub} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n cm_k \delta_{gk} \right\} = c \text{lub} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \delta_{gk} \right\} \\ &= c_{gk} \int_a^b f dg = c \int_a^{\bar{b}} f dg \quad \dots \text{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= c \text{glb} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \delta_{gk} \right\} = \text{glb} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n cM_k \delta_{gk} \right\} \quad [\because f \text{ is } RS\text{-integrable}] \\ &= \int_a^{\bar{b}} cf dg \quad \dots \text{(ii)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, cf is RS -integrable on $[a, b]$ and in view of Eqs. (i) and (ii), we get

$$\int_a^b cf dg = c \int_a^b f dg$$

For $c = 0$, the result is obvious.

Suppose $c < 0$. In this case cM_k and cm_k , are respectively denote the glb and lub of cf of $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } \int_a^b c f dg &= \text{lub} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n c M_k \delta_{g_k} \right\} = c \cdot \text{glb} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \delta_{g_k} \right\} && [\because c < 0] \\ &= c \int_a^b f dg = c \cdot \text{lub} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \delta_{g_k} \right\} = \text{glb} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n c M_k \delta_{g_k} \right\} && [\because c < 0] \\ &= \int_a^b c \cdot f dg && \dots(\text{iii}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, cf is RS -integrable on $[a, b]$ and in view of Eq. (iii).

$$\therefore \int_a^b c f dg = c \int_a^b f dg$$

which completes the proof of this theorem.

Q 6. If $f \in RS(g_1)$ on $[a, b]$ and $f \in RS(g_2)$ on $[a, b]$, then prove that

$$f \in RS(g_1 + g_2) \text{ and } \int_a^b f d(g_1 + g_2) = \int_a^b f dg_1 + \int_a^b f dg_2. \quad (2007)$$

Sol. Since, $f \in RS(g_1)$ on $[a, b]$, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P_1 of $[a, b]$ such that

$$U(P_1, f, g_1) - L(P_1, f, g_1) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Similarly, there exists a partition P_2 of $[a, b]$ such that

$$U(P_2, f, g_2) - L(P_2, f, g_2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2 = \{a = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = b\}$

Then, P is a common refinement of P_1 and P_2 , therefore

$$U(P, f, g_1) - L(P, f, g_1) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and $U(P, f, g_2) - L(P, f, g_2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

Let M_k and m_k are lub and glb of f in $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$.

Then, $\delta_{g_{1k}} = g_1(x_k) - g_1(x_{k-1})$ and $\delta_{g_{2k}} = g_2(x_k) - g_2(x_{k-1})$

Let $g = g_1 + g_2$. Then, $\delta_{g_k} = \delta_{g_{1k}} + \delta_{g_{2k}}$

$$\text{and } \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k) \delta_{g_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k) \delta_{g_{1k}} + \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k) \delta_{g_{2k}}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(P, f, g) - L(P, f, g) = [U(P, f, g_1) - L(P, f, g_1)] + [U(P, f, g_2) - L(P, f, g_2)] < \varepsilon$$

$\therefore f \in RS(g)$

$$\text{Since, } U(P, f, g) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \delta_{g_{1k}} + \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \delta_{g_{2k}}$$

$$= U(P, f, g_1) + U(P, f, g_2)$$

$$\therefore \text{glb } [U(P, f, g)] = \text{glb } [U(P, f, g_1) + U(P, f, g_2)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq \text{glb} [U(P, f, g_1) + U(P, f, g_2)] \\ \therefore \int_a^b f dg & \geq \int_a^b f dg_1 + \int_a^b f dg_2 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(i)$$

Similarly, $L(P, f, g) = L(P, f, g_1) + L(P, f, g_2)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lub} [L(P, f, g)] & = \text{lub} [L(P, f, g_1) + L(P, f, g_2)] \\ & \leq \text{lub} [L(P, f, g_1)] + \text{lub} [L(P, f, g_2)] \\ \therefore \int_a^b f dg & \leq \int_a^b f dg_1 + \int_a^b f dg_2 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(ii)$$

From Eqs. (i) and (ii), we have

$$\int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b f dg_1 + \int_a^b f dg_2 \quad \text{Hence proved.}$$

Q 7. If $f \in RS(g)$ on $[a, b]$, then prove that $|f| \in RS(g)$ on $[a, b]$ and

$$\left| \int_a^b f dg \right| \leq \int_a^b |f| dg.$$

Sol. If $f \in RS(g)$ on $[a, b]$, there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq k, \forall x \in [a, b].$$

Therefore, $|f|$ is bounded on $[a, b]$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$

Then, there exists a partition $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$U(P, f, g) - L(P, f, g) < \varepsilon, \text{ i.e. } \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k) \delta_{g_k} < \varepsilon$$

where, M_k and m_k are lub and glb respectively, of f on $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ and $\delta_{g_k} = g(x_k) - g(x_{k-1}) > 0$.

Let M'_k and m'_k are lub and glb of $|f|$ on $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ and $x', x'' \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$.

Then, $||f(x')| - |f(x'')|| \leq |f(x') - f(x'')|$

$$\Rightarrow M'_k - m'_k \leq M_k - m_k$$

So that $U(P, |f|, g) - L(P, |f|, g) \leq U(P, f, g) - L(P, f, g) < \varepsilon$

Therefore, $|f| \in RS(g)$

Now, let $f_1 = \frac{1}{2}(|f| + f)$, $f_2 = \frac{1}{2}(|f| - f)$

Then, for every $x \in [a, b]$, $f_1(x), f_2(x) \geq 0$ and $f_1, f_2 \in RS(g)$.

Thus, we have $\int_a^b f_1 dg \geq 0$, $\int_a^b f_2 dg \geq 0$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f| & = f_1 + f_2, \quad f = f_1 - f_2 \\ \therefore \left| \int_a^b f dg \right| & = \left| \int_a^b f_1 dg - \int_a^b f_2 dg \right| \leq \left| \int_a^b f_1 dg \right| + \left| \int_a^b f_2 dg \right| \\ & = \int_a^b f_1 dg + \int_a^b f_2 dg = \int_a^b |f| dg \quad \text{Hence proved.} \end{aligned}$$

Q 8. Let f and g be defined on $[0, 2]$ by

$$f(x) = g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } 1 < x \leq 2 \end{cases}, \text{ then prove that both the}$$

integrals $\int_0^1 f dg$ and $\int_1^2 f dg$ exist but the integral $\int_0^2 f dg$ does not exist.

Sol. Since, $g = 0$ (constant) on $[0, 1]$, then $\int_0^1 f dg = 1$, so the value exists.

Again, since f is constant on $[1, 2]$, then $\int_1^2 f dg$ exists and has the value $g(2) - g(1) = 1 - 0 = 1$.

To investigate the existence of $\int_0^2 f dg$, let $P = \{0 = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = 2\}$ be a partition of $[0, 2]$, which does not include the point 1.

Let for some r , $x_{r-1} < 1 < x_r$. Then, $\delta_{g_r} = g(x_r) - g(x_{r-1}) = 1 - 0 = 1$ and $\delta_{g_k} = 0$ for $k \neq r$

$$\text{Now, } \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \delta_{g_k} = M_r \delta_{g_r} = M_r = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \delta_{g_k} = m_r \delta_{g_r} = m_r = 0.$$

$$\therefore \int_0^2 f dg = 1 \text{ and } \int_0^2 f dg = 0$$

Hence, $\int_0^2 f dg$ does not exist.

Hence proved.

Long Answer Questions

Q 1. (i) Prove that, if $f_1, f_2 \in RS(g)$ for $[a, b]$, then

$$f_1 + f_2 \in RS(g) \text{ for } [a, b]. \quad (2011)$$

(ii) Prove that a continuous function f is RS -integrable with respect to g increasing on $[a, b]$. (2011)

Sol. (i) See the solution of Q. 6 of Short Answer Questions.

(i) See the solution of Q. 2 of Short Answer Questions.

Q 2. Define lower and upper RS -integrals. If f is

RS -integrable on $[a, b]$ with respect to α increasing on $[a, b]$ and $m = \inf f(x)$ and $M = \sup f(x)$ on $[a, b]$, then prove that $m[\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)] \leq \int_a^b f d\alpha \leq M[\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)]$.

(2007)

Sol. Part I Lower and Upper RS-integrals Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$ and g is a monotonically non-decreasing function on $[a, b]$.

Now, let P be the class of all partition of $[a, b]$. Then,

$$\int_a^b f dg = \text{lub} \{L(f, g, P) : P \in \mathbf{P}\}$$

and
$$\int_a^b f dg = \text{glb} \{U(f, g, P) : P \in \mathbf{P}\}$$

These integrals are respectively called the lower and upper RS-integrals of relative to g over $[a, b]$.

Part II Let $P = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be any partition of $[a, b]$. Let m_r and M_r be the infimum and supremum of $f(x)$ in the subinterval $[x_{r-1}, x_r]$. Then, we have $m \leq m_r \leq M_r \leq M$

$$\Rightarrow m \delta\alpha_r < m_r \delta\alpha_r \leq M_r \delta\alpha_r \leq M \delta\alpha_r$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{r=1}^n m \delta\alpha_r \leq \sum_{r=1}^n m_r \delta\alpha_r \leq \sum_{r=1}^n M_r \delta\alpha_r \leq \sum_{r=1}^n M \delta\alpha_r$$

$$\Rightarrow m [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)] \leq L(P, f, \alpha) \leq U(P, f, \alpha) \leq M [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)] \quad \dots(i)$$

Also, we know that,

$$L(P, f, \alpha) \leq \int_a^b f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \int_a^b f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq U(P, f, \alpha) \quad \dots(ii)$$

From Eqs. (i) and (ii), we have

$$m [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)] \leq \int_a^b f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \int_a^b f(\alpha) dx \leq M [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)] \quad \dots(iii)$$

and
$$\int_a^b f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \int_a^b f(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_a^b f(\alpha) d\alpha \quad \dots(iv)$$

From Eqs. (iii) and (iv), we get

$$m [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)] \leq \int_a^b f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq M [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)]$$

Hence proved